

STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

LEGAL DIVISION (MIC:82)
450 N STREET, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA
(P.O. BOX 942879, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 94279-0001)
(916) 323-7714

MEMBER Firet Dietrict BRAD SHERME Second Dietrict, Los Anges

ERNEST J. DRONENBURG, JR. Third District, San Diego

> MATTHEW K. FONG Fourth District, L'os Angeles

GRAY DAVIS

BURTON W. OLIVER

December 7, 1993

Mr. Stephen M. Jay Jay & Easterly, Ste 312 20750 Ventura BLVD Woodland Hills, CA 91364

RE: Clarification of Application for Reduced Assessment

Dear Mr. Jay:

This opinion is in response to your request of November 5, 1993. On September 10, 1991 you filed an application for reduced assessment with the Santa Clara County Appeals Board in the name of The Town & Country Shopping Center but listed only three of the several parcel numbers that constitute the entire economic unit. You have been negotiating the value issue for the complete center with the assessor's office since filing but that was broken off on November 5th when the assessor challenged the completeness of your application before the board.

Rule \$05(c)(3) requires a description of the property, sufficient to identify it on the assessment roll. The assessor is insisting on the inclusion of all parcel numbers for sufficient identification whereas you feel the name of the shopping center is adequate. The rule does not require parcel numbers so we would conclude that its application presents a question of fact for the board. You should present testimony as to the time and place of the value negotiations and support it by calling and questioning the deputy assessors that were present. If you demonstrate a clear understanding that you were negotiating the value of the entire economic unit the board should find in your favor.

I would also invite your attention to Rule 324(b) which can be applied to appeals involving disputed appraisal units. It is discretionary with the board but an initial showing that the

Mr. Stephen M. Jay — 2- December 7, 1993

center constitutes the appropriate unit to find taxable value would eliminate the identification issue because the first paragraph of (b) permits the board to go beyond the written application.

Very truly yours,

James M. Williams

Staff Counsel III

JMW

cc: Santa Clara County Assessor

Mr. Verne Walton

precednt\equalizn\93007.jmw